



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

TD

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
097352,661	07/07/99	CHAN	

C

WM02/0430
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
P.O. BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

HO, T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2612

15

DATE MAILED: 04/30/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/352,661

Applicant(s)

Chan

Examiner

Tuan Ho

Group Art Unit

2612

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan Ho

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Matt Shanley, Reg. No. 47,074

(4) _____

Date of Interview Apr 26, 2001

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☒ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ Applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Applicant representative agreed to submit response that would additionally provide proof from available commercial products that there are numerous well known products available for satisfying the functional role of the sensor and manual trigger of the present invention. Examiner has indicated that upon further review of additionally submitted evidence from the prior art, this evidence may overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


TUAN HO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2612